

**MARK SCHEME**

**Duration:** 2 hours 30 minutes

**MAXIMUM MARK 140**

**This document consists of 32 pages**

## MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

### PREPARATION FOR MARKING

#### SCORIS

1. Make sure that you have accessed and completed the relevant training packages for on-screen marking: *scoris assessor Online Training*; *OCR Essential Guide to Marking*.
2. Make sure that you have read and understood the mark scheme and the question paper for this unit. These are posted on the RM Cambridge Assessment Support Portal <http://www.rm.com/support/ca>
3. Log-in to scoris and mark the **required number** of practice responses (“scripts”) and the **required number** of standardisation responses.

YOU MUST MARK 10 PRACTICE AND 10 STANDARDISATION RESPONSES BEFORE YOU CAN BE APPROVED TO MARK LIVE SCRIPTS.

#### TRADITIONAL

Before the Standardisation meeting you must mark at least 10 scripts from several centres. For this preliminary marking you should use **pencil** and follow the **mark scheme**. Bring these **marked scripts** to the meeting.

#### MARKING

1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the scoris 50% and 100% (traditional 50% Batch 1 and 100% Batch 2) deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone, email or via the scoris messaging system.

### 5. Crossed Out Responses

Where a candidate has crossed out a response and provided a clear alternative then the crossed out response is not marked. Where no alternative response has been provided, examiners may give candidates the benefit of the doubt and mark the crossed out response where legible.

### Rubric Error Responses – Optional Questions

Where candidates have a choice of question across a whole paper or a whole section and have provided more answers than required, then all responses are marked and the highest mark allowable within the rubric is given. Enter a mark for each question answered into RM assessor, which will select the highest mark from those awarded. *(The underlying assumption is that the candidate has penalised themselves by attempting more questions than necessary in the time allowed.)*

### Multiple Choice Question Responses

When a multiple choice question has only a single, correct response and a candidate provides two responses (even if one of these responses is correct), then no mark should be awarded (as it is not possible to determine which was the first response selected by the candidate).

*When a question requires candidates to select more than one option/multiple options, then local marking arrangements need to ensure consistency of approach.*

### Contradictory Responses

When a candidate provides contradictory responses, then no mark should be awarded, even if one of the answers is correct.

### Short Answer Questions (requiring only a list by way of a response, usually worth only **one mark per response**)

Where candidates are required to provide a set number of short answer responses then only the set number of responses should be marked. The response space should be marked from left to right on each line and then line by line until the required number of responses have been considered. The remaining responses should not then be marked. Examiners will have to apply judgement as to whether a 'second response' on a line is a development of the 'first response', rather than a separate, discrete response. *(The underlying assumption is that the candidate is attempting to hedge their bets and therefore getting undue benefit rather than engaging with the question and giving the most relevant/correct responses.)*

### Short Answer Questions (requiring a more developed response, worth **two or more marks**)

If the candidates are required to provide a description of, say, three items or factors and four items or factors are provided, then mark on a similar basis – that is downwards (as it is unlikely in this situation that a candidate will provide more than one response in each section of the response space.)

### Longer Answer Questions (requiring a developed response)

Where candidates have provided two (or more) responses to a medium or high tariff question which only required a single (developed) response and not crossed out the first response, then only the first response should be marked. Examiners will need to apply professional judgement as to whether the second (or a subsequent) response is a 'new start' or simply a poorly expressed continuation of the first response.

6. Always check the pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen.

7. Award No Response (NR) if:

- there is nothing written in the answer space.

Award Zero '0' if:

- anything is written in the answer space and is not worthy of credit (this includes text and symbols).

Team Leaders must confirm the correct use of the NR button with their markers before live marking commences and should check this when reviewing scripts.

8. The scoris **comments box** is used by your Team Leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. **Do not use the comments box for any other reason.**

If you have any questions or comments for your Team Leader, use telephone, email or the scoris messaging system.














9. Assistant Examiners will send a brief report on the performance of candidates to their Team Leader (Supervisor) via email by the end of the marking period. The report should contain notes on particular strengths displayed as well as common errors or weaknesses. Constructive criticism of the question paper/mark scheme is also appreciated.

10. For answers marked by levels of response:

- a. **To determine the level** – start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
- b. **To determine the mark within the level**, consider the following:

Descriptor	Award mark
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level

### 11. Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
	Omission mark
	Benefit of the doubt
	Incorrect point
	Follow through
	Not answered question
	No benefit of doubt given
	Repeat
	Correct point
	Too vague
	Blank Page – this annotation must be used on all blank pages within an answer booklet (structured or unstructured) and on each page of an
	Level 1
	Level 2
	Level 3

## 12. Subject-specific Marking Instructions

### INTRODUCTION

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper and its rubrics
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet **Instructions for Examiners**. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully **Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners**.

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.

**USING THE MARK SCHEME**

Please study this Mark Scheme carefully. The Mark Scheme is an integral part of the process that begins with the setting of the question paper and ends with the awarding of grades. Question papers and Mark Schemes are developed in association with each other so that issues of differentiation and positive achievement can be addressed from the very start.

This Mark Scheme is a working document; it is not exhaustive; it does not provide 'correct' answers. The Mark Scheme can only provide 'best guesses' about how the question will work out, and it is subject to revision after we have looked at a wide range of scripts.

The Examiners' Standardisation Meeting will ensure that the Mark Scheme covers the range of candidates' responses to the questions, and that all Examiners understand and apply the Mark Scheme in the same way. The Mark Scheme will be discussed and amended at the meeting, and administrative procedures will be confirmed. Co-ordination scripts will be issued at the meeting to exemplify aspects of candidates' responses and achievements; the co-ordination scripts then become part of this Mark Scheme.

Before the Standardisation Meeting, you should read and mark in pencil a number of scripts, in order to gain an impression of the range of responses and achievement that may be expected.

In your marking, you will encounter valid responses which are not covered by the Mark Scheme: these responses must be credited. You will encounter answers which fall outside the 'target range' of Bands for the paper which you are marking. Please mark these answers according to the marking criteria.

Please read carefully all the scripts in your allocation and make every effort to look positively for achievement throughout the ability range. Always be prepared to use the full range of marks.

## LEVELS OF RESPONSE QUESTIONS:

The indicative content indicates the expected parameters for candidates' answers, but be prepared to recognise and credit unexpected approaches where they show relevance.

Using 'best-fit', decide first which set of BAND DESCRIPTORS best describes the overall quality of the answer. Once the band is located, adjust the mark concentrating on features of the answer which make it stronger or weaker following the guidelines for refinement.


- **Highest mark:** If clear evidence of all the qualities in the band descriptors is shown, the HIGHEST Mark should be awarded.
- **Lowest mark:** If the answer shows the candidate to be borderline (i.e. they have achieved all the qualities of the bands below and show limited evidence of meeting the criteria of the band in question) the LOWEST mark should be awarded.
- **Middle mark:** This mark should be used for candidates who are secure in the band. They are not 'borderline' but they have only achieved some of the qualities in the band descriptors.

Be prepared to use the full range of marks. Do not reserve (e.g.) high Band 3 marks 'in case' something turns up of a quality you have not yet seen. If an answer gives clear evidence of the qualities described in the band descriptors, reward appropriately.



	<b>AO1</b>	<b>AO2</b>	<b>AO3</b>
<b>High (thorough)</b>	Precision in the use of question terminology. Knowledge shown is consistent and well-developed. Clear appreciation of the question from a range of different perspectives making extensive use of acquired knowledge and understanding.	Knowledge and understanding shown is consistently applied to context enabling a logical and sustained argument to develop. Examples used enhance rather than detract from response.	Concerted effort is made to consider all aspects of a system / problem or weigh up both sides to an argument before forming an overall conclusion. Judgements made are based on appropriate and concise arguments that have been developed in response resulting in them being both supported and realistic.
<b>Middle (reasonable)</b>	Awareness of the meaning of the terms in the question. Knowledge is sound and effectively demonstrated. Demands of question understood although at times opportunities to make use of acquired knowledge and understanding not always taken.	Knowledge and understanding applied to context. Whilst clear evidence that an argument builds and develops through response there are times when opportunities are missed to use an example or relate an aspect of knowledge or understanding to the context provided.	There is a reasonable attempt to reach a conclusion considering aspects of a system / problem or weighing up both sides of an argument. However the impact of the conclusion is often lessened by a lack of supported judgements which accompany it. This inability to build on and develop lines of argument as developed in the response can detract from the overall quality of the response.
<b>Low (basic)</b>	Confusion and inability to deconstruct terminology as used in the question. Knowledge partial and superficial. Focus on question narrow and often one-dimensional.	Inability to apply knowledge and understanding in any sustained way to context resulting in tenuous and unsupported statements being made. Examples if used are for the most part irrelevant and unsubstantiated.	Little or no attempt to prioritise or weigh up factors during course of answer. Conclusion is often dislocated from response and any judgements lack substance due in part to the basic level of argument that has been demonstrated throughout response.

	<b>Assessment Objective</b>
<b>AO1</b>	Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the principles and concepts of computer science, including abstraction, logic, algorithms and data representation.
<b>AO1.1</b>	Demonstrate <b>knowledge</b> of the principles and concepts of abstraction, logic, algorithms, data representation or other as appropriate.
<b>AO1.2</b>	Demonstrate <b>understanding</b> of the principles and concepts of abstraction, logic, algorithms, data representation or other as appropriate.
<b>AO2</b>	Apply knowledge and understanding of the principles and concepts of computer science including to analyse problems in computational terms.
<b>AO2.1</b>	Apply knowledge and understanding of the principles and concepts of computer science.
<b>AO2.2</b>	Analyse problems in computational terms.
<b>AO3</b>	Design, program and evaluate computer systems that solve problems, making reasoned judgements about these and presenting conclusions.
<b>AO3.1</b>	Design computer systems that solve problems.
<b>AO3.2</b>	Program computer systems that solve problems.
<b>AO3.3</b>	Evaluate computer systems that solve problems, making reasoned judgements about these and presenting conclusions.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1a	 <pre> graph TD     Italy[Italy] --- France[France]     Italy --- Spain[Spain]     France --- Austria[Austria]     France --- Germany[Germany]     Austria --- Australia[Australia]     Austria --- England[England]     Spain --- Norway[Norway]     Spain --- Wales[Wales]     Norway --- Scotland[Scotland]     </pre> <p>1 mark for each of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Scotland in correct place</li> <li>- Wales in correct place</li> <li>- Australia and England both in correct place</li> </ul>	<p>3 AO2.2 (3)</p>	
1b	<p>1 mark per bullet to max</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Italy</li> <li>• France, Spain</li> <li>• Austria, Germany, Norway</li> </ul>	<p>3 AO1.1 (1) AO2.1 (1) AO2.2 (1)</p>	

1ci	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 5</p> <pre>function searchForData(currentNode:byVal, searchValue:byVal)     thisNode = getData(currentNode)     if thisNode == searchValue then         return true     elseif thisNode &lt; searchValue then         if currentNode.left () != null then             return (searchForData(currentNode.left (), searchValue))         else             return false         endif     else         if currentNode.right() != null then             return (searchForData(currentNode.right (), searchValue))         else             return false         endif     endif endfunction</pre>	<p>5 AO2.2 (2) AO3.2 (3)</p>	<p>The line <code>elseif thisNode &lt; searchValue then</code> should have read <code>elseif thisNode &gt; searchValue then</code></p> <p>If candidates attempt to correct the code and their answers are consistent with, and work with their amendment, such answers should be credited.</p>
1cii	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It's a binary tree</li> <li>• It's ordered / sorted</li> </ul>	<p>2 AO2.2 (2)</p>	

2ai	<p>Recognition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify there is a problem to be solved // what the problem is</li> </ul> <p>Decomposition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Splitting down a problem into sub-problems</li> </ul>	<p>2 AO1.1 (2)</p>	
-----	--	----------------------------	--

2aii	e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Divide and conquer</li> <li>Abstraction</li> </ul>	1 AO1.1 (1)	Accept other credible answers e.g.: Critical thinking, Modelling, Heuristics, Concurrency, Visualisation, Backtracking
2bi	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Turning large quantities of data into useful information / Finding patterns within large quantities of information</li> </ul>	1 AO1.1 (1)	Must refer to large quantities of data
2bii	1 mark per identifying data, 1 for use e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify customer trends</li> <li>To identify items to sell/offers to send customers</li> <li>Identify which stores are making the most profit</li> <li>To identify what the other stores are doing well</li> <li>Which items are not selling well</li> <li>To replace them with other items</li> </ul>	4 AO2.2 (4)	Accept any valid responses
2ci	Simulate/test the behaviour of the system before it is used	1 AO1.1 (1)	
2cii	e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Testing it with a large number of simultaneous orders (stress testing)</li> <li>Testing it with a large number of customers/items/orders</li> </ul>	1 AO2.2 (1)	
2d	1 mark per bullet to max 2 e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the components can be used in a future program...</li> <li>...they do not need to be rewritten / saves time</li> <li>they have already been tested...</li> <li>...it will save time</li> </ul>	2 AO1.1 (1) AO2.1 (1)	
3ai	Any one from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A graph has cycles</li> <li>A graph can be directed/undirected</li> <li>A tree has a hierarchy (e.g. Parent/Child)</li> </ul>	1 AO1.2 (1)	Allow any appropriate description e.g. graph can be weighted, tree has a root

3a ii	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The puzzle is not shown in the diagram</li> <li>• The graph shows different sequences of sub problems in the puzzle that can be solved to get to the final solution</li> <li>• The puzzle does not have all states visible at once</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b> <b>AO1.2</b> <b>(1)</b> <b>AO2.1</b> <b>(1)</b></p>	Answers must be in context of the puzzle
3a iii	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 2</p> <p>e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Visualisations benefit humans rather than computers</li> <li>• Visualisations present the information in a simpler form to understand</li> <li>• Visualisations can best explain complex situations</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b> <b>AO1.1</b> <b>(1)</b> <b>AO2.1</b> <b>(1)</b></p>	
3b	<p>1 mark per bullet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mark A as the initial node and then visit B (5)</li> <li>• Node E (8) is then visited (chosen from C (13), D (14), E (8))</li> <li>• Node I (12) is then visited after E</li> <li>• Node J (14) is then visited after I</li> <li>• :</li> <li>• Visiting G (18) from I;</li> <li>• Visiting G (15) from C – <u>overriding</u> the previous value of 18</li> <li>• :</li> <li>• solution A-B-E-I-J path length 14</li> </ul>	<p><b>7</b> <b>AO1.2</b> <b>(3)</b> <b>AO2.1</b> <b>(2)</b> <b>AO2.2</b> <b>(2)</b></p>	

3c	<p><b>Mark Band 3 – High level (7-9 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates a thorough knowledge and understanding of Dijkstra’s and A*; the material is generally accurate and detailed. The candidate is able to apply their knowledge and understanding directly and consistently to the context provided. Evidence/examples will be explicitly relevant to the explanation.  <i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</i></p> <p><b>Mark Band 2 – Mid level (4-6 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of Dijkstra’s and A*; the material is generally accurate but at times underdeveloped. The candidate is able to apply their knowledge and understanding directly to the context provided although one or two opportunities are missed. Evidence/examples are for the most part implicitly relevant to the explanation. The candidate provides a reasonable discussion, the majority of which is focused. Evaluative comments are, for the most part appropriate, although one or two opportunities for development are missed.  <i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p> <p><b>Mark Band 1 – Low Level (1-3 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates a basic knowledge of Dijkstra’s and A* with limited understanding shown; the material is basic and contains some inaccuracies. The candidates makes a limited attempt to apply acquired knowledge and understanding to the context provided. The candidate provides a limited discussion which is narrow in focus. Judgements if made are weak and unsubstantiated.  <i>The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</i></p> <p><b>0 marks</b>  No attempt to answer the question or response is not worthy of credit.</p>	<p><b>9</b>  <b>AO1.1 (2)</b>  <b>AO1.2 (2)</b>  <b>AO2.1 (2)</b>  <b>AO3.3 (3)</b></p>	<p><b>AO1: Knowledge and Understanding</b>  <b>Indicative content</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Heuristic helps produce a solution in a faster time</li> <li>• A* uses estimated distance from final node</li> <li>• Dijkstra uses a weight/distance</li> <li>• A* chooses which path to take next based on lowest current distance travelled</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2: Application</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Description of how A* will differ from Dijkstra, e.g. taking the shorter route A-B-E-I before exploring nodes from D and E</li> <li>• Description of the different number of comparisons that would be needed in this problem</li> <li>• A* doesn’t need to find all possible solutions (saves time)</li> </ul> <p><b>AO3: Evaluation</b>  Candidates will need to evaluate the benefits and drawbacks of each algorithm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Small-scale problem</li> <li>• Quick to find a solution using either method</li> <li>• Difference in programming complexity is minimal</li> <li>• Don’t know if this problem needs to scale</li> <li>• Most efficient route needed</li> </ul>
----	--	---	---

3d	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 4 e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Underlines syntax errors dynamically</li> <li>• Can be corrected before running // saves times</li>   <li>• Watch window</li> <li>• View how variables change during running of the program</li>   <li>• Break points</li> <li>• Stop the program at set points to check the values of variables</li>   <li>• Error message list</li> <li>• Tells you where errors are and suggests corrections</li>   <li>• Step-mode</li> <li>• Executes program one statement at a time to watch variable values and program pathways</li>   <li>• Traces</li> <li>• Print-outs of variable values for each statement execution within a program</li>   <li>• Crash-dump/post-mortem routine</li> <li>• Shows the state of variables where an error occurs</li>   <li>• Stack contents</li> <li>• Shows sequencing through procedures/modules</li>   <li>• Cross-referencers</li> <li>• Identifies where variables/constants are used in a program to avoid duplications</li> </ul>	<p><b>6</b> <b>AO1.1</b> <b>(3)</b> <b>AO1.2</b> <b>(3)</b></p>	
----	--	---	--



4a	<p>1 mark per bullet for working to max 6</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• generate(7) return 7 + (generate(8) DIV 2)</li> <li>• generate(8) return 8 + (generate(9) DIV 2) generate(9) return 9 + (generate(10) DIV 2) generate(10) return 10 + (generate(11) DIV 2)</li> <li>• generate(11) return 10</li> <li>• Rewinding: return 10 + (10 DIV 2) = 10 + 5 = 15</li> <li>• return 9 + (15 DIV 2) = 9 + 7 = 16</li> <li>• return 8 + (16 DIV 2) = 8 + 8 = 16</li> <li>• return 7 + (16 DIV 2) = 7 + 8 = 15</li> </ul>	<p><b>6</b> <b>AO1.2</b> <b>(1)</b> <b>AO2.2</b> <b>(5)</b></p>	
4b	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If the value is sent by value, num1 will not be overridden / it is a copy of the parameter that is used (1) and this will produce the correct output (1)</li> <li>• if the parameter had been passed by reference it would not produce the correct result (1) as num1 would be overridden / because it is a pointer to the address of the variable (1)</li> </ul>	<p><b>2</b> <b>AO2.1</b> <b>(1)</b> <b>AO2.2</b> <b>(1)</b></p>	

4c	<p><b>Mark Band 3 – High level (7-9 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates a thorough knowledge and understanding of parameters and global variables; the material is generally accurate and detailed.  The candidate is able to apply their knowledge and understanding directly and consistently to the context provided. Evidence/examples will be explicitly relevant to the explanation.  <i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</i></p> <p><b>Mark Band 2 – Mid level (4-6 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of parameters and global variables; the material is generally accurate but at times underdeveloped.  The candidate is able to apply their knowledge and understanding directly to the context provided although one or two opportunities are missed. Evidence/examples are for the most part implicitly relevant to the explanation.  The candidate provides a reasonable discussion, the majority of which is focused.  Evaluative comments are, for the most part appropriate, although one or two opportunities for development are missed.  <i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p> <p><b>Mark Band 1 – Low Level (1-3 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates a basic knowledge of parameters and global variables with limited understanding shown; the material is basic and contains some inaccuracies. The candidates makes a limited attempt to apply acquired knowledge and understanding to the context provided.  The candidate provides a limited discussion which is narrow in focus. Judgements if made are weak and unsubstantiated.  <i>The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</i></p> <p><b>0 marks</b>  No attempt to answer the question or response is not worthy of credit.</p>	<p><b>9</b>  <b>AO1.1</b>  <b>(2)</b>  <b>AO1.2</b>  <b>(2)</b>  <b>AO2.1</b>  <b>(2)</b>  <b>AO3.3</b>  <b>(3)</b></p>	<p><b>AO1: Knowledge and Understanding</b>  <b>Indicative content</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parameter allows a value to be sent to a sub-program</li> <li>• Global variables can be accessed throughout the scope of the program</li> <li>• Local variables can only be accessed within the scope of the sub-program it's defined within – a parameter becomes a local variable in the function</li> </ul> <p><b>AO2: Application</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If global, equivalent of by reference - value would be over-ridden</li> <li>• Global variable takes more memory than a local variable/parameter</li> <li>• In recursion, each call produces a new local variable for num1</li> </ul> <p><b>AO3: Evaluation</b>  Candidates will need to evaluate the benefits and drawbacks of each algorithm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Global would require altering the algorithm as the value would be over-ridden on each call</li> <li>• Global would mean that memory space is kept throughout the running of the program, not just the sub-program</li> <li>• Parameter enables memory to be reallocated</li> <li>• Many more memory spaces needed for parameter in recursion, 1 for each call</li> </ul>
----	---	---	---

4d	<p>1 mark per bullet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Each recursive call stores the current state on the stack // creates new variables</li> <li>• Iteration reuses the same variables</li> </ul>	<p>2 AO1.2 (1) AO2.1 (1)</p>																																	
5a	<p>1 mark for each correct stack</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; height: 20px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td style="height: 20px;">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;">13</td> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td style="height: 20px;">10</td> <td style="height: 20px;">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;">6</td> <td style="height: 20px;">6</td> <td style="height: 20px;">6</td> <td style="height: 20px;">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;">15</td> <td style="height: 20px;">15</td> <td style="height: 20px;">15</td> <td style="height: 20px;">15</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;">100</td> <td style="height: 20px;">100</td> <td style="height: 20px;">100</td> <td style="height: 20px;">100</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;">23</td> <td style="height: 20px;">23</td> <td style="height: 20px;">23</td> <td style="height: 20px;">23</td> </tr> </table>												20	13		10	10	6	6	6	6	15	15	15	15	100	100	100	100	23	23	23	23	<p>4 AO1.2 (2) AO2.2 (2)</p>	
			20																																
13		10	10																																
6	6	6	6																																
15	15	15	15																																
100	100	100	100																																
23	23	23	23																																

5bi	<p>1 mark per bullet, max 2 for insert, max 2 for remove</p> <p>push</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Check if the stack is full (pointer = array.length/array.length+1)</li> <li>• If it is not – insert the item</li> <li>• If it is – return/error that the stack is full</li> </ul> <p>pop</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Check if the stack is empty (pointer = 0/1)</li> <li>• If it is – return/error that the stack is empty</li> <li>• If it is not – return the item</li> </ul>	<p>4</p> <p>AO1.2 (2)</p> <p>AO2.2 (2)</p>																																					
5bii	<p>1 mark per line, 1 for change</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• line 02</li> <li>• Include an OR with variations (e.g. <code>userAnswer = "PUSH"</code> OR <code>userAnswer = "Push"</code> etc.)/Convert input to uppercase/lowercase and just compare to equivalent</li> </ul>	<p>2</p> <p>AO2.2 (2)</p>																																					
5c	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Array size defined</li> <li>• A stack pointer is used to point to the top of the stack</li> <li>• When an item is pushed the stack pointer is incremented</li> <li>• When an item is popped the stack pointer is decremented</li> </ul>	<p>3</p> <p>AO1.2 (1)</p> <p>AO2.1 (1)</p> <p>AO2.2 (1)</p>																																					
5di	<p>1 mark per row (after first row)</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>100</td><td>22</td><td>5</td><td>36</td><td>999</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr> <td>22</td><td>100</td><td>5</td><td>36</td><td>999</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr> <td>5</td><td>22</td><td>100</td><td>36</td><td>999</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr> <td>5</td><td>22</td><td>36</td><td>100</td><td>999</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr> <td>5</td><td>22</td><td>36</td><td>100</td><td>999</td><td>12</td></tr> <tr> <td>5</td><td>12</td><td>22</td><td>36</td><td>100</td><td>999</td></tr> </table> <p>1 mark</p> <p>1 mark</p> <p>1 mark</p> <p>1 mark</p> <p>1 mark</p>	100	22	5	36	999	12	22	100	5	36	999	12	5	22	100	36	999	12	5	22	36	100	999	12	5	22	36	100	999	12	5	12	22	36	100	999	<p>5</p> <p>AO2.2 (5)</p>	
100	22	5	36	999	12																																		
22	100	5	36	999	12																																		
5	22	100	36	999	12																																		
5	22	36	100	999	12																																		
5	22	36	100	999	12																																		
5	12	22	36	100	999																																		

5dii	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 7</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Repeat</li> <li>• Calculating an array midpoint...</li> <li>• ...by adding the array lower bound to the array upper bound, dividing by 2 and rounding</li> <li>• Compare array midpoint with value to search for...</li> <li>• ...if equal set found flag to true</li> <li>• ...if array midpoint &lt; value to search for, change lowerbound to equal midpoint + 1</li> <li>• ...if array midpoint &gt; value to search for, change upperbound to equal midpoint – 1</li> <li>• Until lowerbound is greater than or equal to upperbound</li> <li>• Return/output found flag</li> </ul>	<p>7</p> <p>AO1.1 (2)</p> <p>AO1.2 (3)</p> <p>AO2.1 (1)</p> <p>AO2.2 (1)</p>	
------	--	--	--

<p>5diii</p>	<p>1 mark per bullet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Setting variable to start at 0</li> <li>• Suitable while structure (endwhile or clear indentation)</li> <li>• looping 50 times</li> <li>• Incrementing the variable within the loop</li> </ul> <p>e.g. 1</p> <pre>function searchItem(dataItem)     count = 0     while count &lt; 50         if dataArray(count) == dataItem then             return(count)         endif         count = count + 1     endwhile     return(-1) endfunction</pre> <p>e.g. 2</p> <pre>function searchItem(dataItem)     count = 0     while count &lt; 50 and dataArray[count]!=dataItem         count = count + 1     endwhile     if count==50         count=-1     endif     return(count) endfunction</pre>	<p>4</p> <p>AO1.2 (1)</p> <p>AO3.1 (1)</p> <p>AO3.2 (2)</p>	
--------------	---	---	--

6ai	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Record is a data structure...</li> <li>...A class is a template for making data structures (objects)</li> <li>Class also has methods (which describes functionality)</li> <li>Both store data of different types</li> <li>Which can be accessed by their names</li> <li>But classes can make them accessible via methods</li> <li>Both can have multiple 'instances'</li> <li>Class can include visibility of properties / private</li> </ul>	3 AO1.2 (3)	
6aii	<p>1 mark per space</p> <pre>recordStructure items   itemName : String   cost : Currency   dateArrival : Date   transferred : Boolean endRecordStructure</pre>	5 AO2.2 (2) AO3.2 (3)	
6aiii	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Declaring box1 as an item</li> <li>Using Box1. (or equivalent) for each variable</li> <li>Setting each variable (matching 6aii) correctly</li> </ul> <p>e.g.</p> <pre>Box1 : Items Box1.itemName = "Box" Box1.cost = 22.58 Box1.dateArrival = "1/5/2018" Box1.transferred = True</pre>	3 AO2.2 (2) AO3.2 (1)	Ensure variable names for cost and dateArrival are consistent with variable names given in a(ii)
6bi	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A data structure</li> <li>FIFO (first in first out)</li> </ul>	2 AO1.1 (2)	

6bii	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Properties (are encapsulated) and can only be accessed through their methods</li> <li>• Enforce validation through the method // inappropriate data can be caught before entered</li> <li>• Cannot be changed/accessed accidentally</li> </ul>	<p>2 AO1.2 (2)</p>	
6biii	<p>1 mark per bullet to max</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Constructor method/new</li> <li>• Setting head and tail to 0 within constructor method</li> </ul> <p>e.g.</p> <pre>public procedure new()   head = 0   tail = 0   numItems = 0 endprocedure</pre>	<p>2 AO2.2 (1) AO3.2 (1)</p>	



6biv	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 6</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Function declaration, taking item as a parameter</li> <li>• Checking if the queue is full...</li> <li>• ...outputting/reporting error and returning false</li> <li>• Adding the item to the tail position</li> <li>• Correctly updating the tail pointer (either before or after addition)</li> <li>• Incrementing numItems and returning true if successful</li> </ul> <p>e.g.</p> <pre>public function enqueue(newItem : items) : boolean   if numItems = 10 then     print("Error: The queue is full")     return false   else     theItems[tail] = newItem     if tail = 9 then       tail = 0     else       tail += 1     endif     numItems += 1     return true   endif endprocedure</pre>	<p>6 AO2.2 (3) AO3.1 (1) AO3.2 (2)</p>	
6bv	<p>e.g.</p> <pre>myItems = (new) itemQueue()</pre>	<p>1 AO2.1 (1)</p>	<p>Allow follow through if they have parameters in 6(b)(iii)</p>

6bvi	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Procedure declaration for <code>insertItems</code></li> <li>• Asking for input of data items for a new item .....</li> <li>• ...using record structure correctly</li> <li>• Use of <code>myItems.enqueue</code></li> <li>• Looping while the queue is not full</li> </ul> <p>e.g.</p> <pre> procedure insertItems()      newItem : Items     itemCount = myItems.getnumItems()      while itemCount &lt; 10         newItem.itemName = input("Enter the item name")         newItem.cost = input("Enter the item cost")         newItem.dateArrival = input("Enter the date of arrival")         newItem.transferred = input("Has it been transferred?")         myItems.enqueue(newItem)         itemCount = itemCount + 1     endwhile      myItems.setnumItems(itemCount)  endprocedure </pre>	<p>5 AO2.2 (2) AO3.1 (1) AO3.2 (2)</p>	
6bvii	<p>1 mark per bullet to max 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Store the items and queue to an external file (when the program closes)</li> <li>• Load the items and queue from the file when it starts</li> </ul>	<p>2 AO2.1 (1) AO2.2 (1)</p>	

6c	<p><b>Mark Band 3 – High level (7-9 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates a thorough knowledge and understanding of caching and concurrent processing; the material is generally accurate and detailed.  The candidate is able to apply their knowledge and understanding directly and consistently to the context provided. Evidence/examples will be explicitly relevant to the explanation.  <i>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.</i></p>	<p><b>9</b>  <b>AO1.1 (2)</b>  <b>AO1.2 (2)</b>  <b>AO2.1 (2)</b>  <b>AO3.3 (3)</b></p>	<p><b>AO1: Knowledge and Understanding</b>  <b>Indicative content</b>  Caching  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previously used data is stored in a location...</li> <li>that can be quickly accessed ...</li> <li>to speed up retrieval if needed in future</li> </ul> Concurrent Processing  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>several processes work simultaneously to solve a problem</li> </ul> <b>AO2: Application</b>  Caching  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>search for previously searched for data items in a faster secondary storage device/RAM</li> <li>Speed up access for that item  ...Relies on same item being searched for multiple times  ...Kamran needs to decide how feasible this is based on the number of item</li> </ul> Concurrent  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Computer would have multiple processors...</li> <li>Each searching part of the data structure at one time...</li> <li>This would be limited by bottlenecks such as accessing the storage device</li> <li>The n processors could potentially mean an increase of up to 1/n of time...realistically speed increase is likely to be less than that</li> <li>Only useful if using linear search // binary search cannot be performed concurrently</li> </ul> </p>
	<p><b>Mark Band 2 – Mid level (4-6 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of caching and concurrent processing; the material is generally accurate but at times underdeveloped.  The candidate is able to apply their knowledge and understanding directly to the context provided although one or two opportunities are missed. Evidence/examples are for the most part implicitly relevant to the explanation.  The candidate provides a reasonable discussion, the majority of which is focused. Evaluative comments are, for the most part appropriate, although one or two opportunities for development are missed.  <i>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most part relevant and supported by some evidence.</i></p> <p><b>Mark Band 1 – Low Level (1-3 marks)</b>  The candidate demonstrates a basic knowledge of caching and concurrent processing with limited understanding shown; the material is basic and contains some inaccuracies. The candidates makes a limited attempt to apply acquired knowledge and understanding to the context provided.  The candidate provides a limited discussion which is narrow in focus. Judgements if made are weak and unsubstantiated.  <i>The information is basic and communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.</i></p> <p><b>0 marks</b>  No attempt to answer the question or response is not worthy of credit.</p>		<p><b>AO3: Evaluation</b>  Candidates will need to evaluate the benefits and drawbacks of caching and concurrent processing  Allow any point of view (caching / concurrent / both) as long as argument is presented suitably.</p>