

Candidate Marks Report

Series : 6 2018

This candidate's script has been assessed using On-Screen Marking. The marks are therefore not shown on the script itself, but are summarised in the table below.

Centre No :	Assessment Code :	J411
Candidate No :	Component Code :	39
Candidate Name :		

Total Marks :

In the table below 'Total Mark' records the mark scored by this candidate.
'Max Mark' records the Maximum Mark available for the question.

Question Part

1	a	Alabama
1	b	cheyenne
1	c	The soil was not good enough.
2		<p>After the loss of war by the British during the War of Independence, the American were given 230 million acres of ^{Indian} land, which did annoy the Indians. With this land they were able to further expand.</p> <p>Furthermore, after the purchase of Louisiana for \$15 million, the Americans were able to expand. They added more states which included slaves who worked on plantations, which enabled the South to gain huge profits. They further expanded to add states which had no slaves, because states were added in pairs - slave + no slave states.</p> <p>America's expansion, allowed for more plantation, and more land to ^{be} sold, which enabled America to make more profit.</p>



Question Part

3	<p>The Reconstruction did little to help improve the lives of African Americans because despite supposedly being given rights, they were not granted complete freedom.</p>
	<p>The Reconstruction did little to improve the black American ^{lives} because despite being able to work and get paid for their hard work, they were still treated inferior to the white workers. They were not given the same pay as the whites, nor treated equal to them. White workers and even ^{-ed} those in charge would at times ridicule and would mock the African Americans.</p>
	<p>Furthermore, they were not given the full rights which they deserved. For example, they were not able to fight in wars, and instead were given "slave" work. This shows that despite the advancement, to the improvement of African American, they were still stripped of the right to fight.</p>
*	<p>Finally, the Reconstruction did little to improve the lives of many African Americans, because they continued to be treated as the inferior race.</p>



Question Part

As many people continued to be racist towards them. Particularly when it came to schools, where there were schools for black and separate schools for white, and if they did join, usually the white would criticise and mock the 'black young kids', or mothers would refuse to send their child to such schools.

* Also the reconstruction did little to improve ~~black~~ African American lives because there were still groups such as the KKK, who opposed ~~the~~ African Americans, as they didn't believe they had the same rights. They brutally attacked them, spoke out against them.

To conclude, the reconstruction did little to improve the lives of African Americans, because after Abraham Lincoln's death, the reconstruction took a U-turn, and slowed down. Even during Abraham, African Americans were still treated as slaves, not able to join the army (but to 'slave' work, they were just treated better in the North).



Question Part

4	<p>I disagree with the statement that discovery of gold was the main reason for migration to the west, this is because, I believe other factors were equally important for the reason to their move.</p>
	<p>One of the main reasons, to why I disagree is because I believe the main reason for migration to the west was because of the fall in economy. US Banks collapsed, people were left with no savings. Prices were rising, but people had no money, so they decided to move west because they believed they had nothing left to lose.</p>
	<p>Another important factor, which contributed to the movement, was the portrayal of the west. The west was portrayed as this great area for farming. This was mainly done through posters, and the appraisals of the first settlers, who highly recommended the move.</p>
	<p>Finally, another factor which is important for the move further west, was the</p>



Question Part

Homestead Act which encouraged people to move further west. This Act meant that people who cleared the lands, so cut down the trees, were given the first opportunity to buy the land. This disabled land speculators, to buy land and sell it at higher prices.

On the other hand some people may disagree with me because they believed the discovery of gold was the main reason for migration. They may believe this because, the people were greedy to find gold and after the discovery of gold in the west they decided to try their luck and find gold to better their lifestyle. However I disagree because, people usually migrated west with their families, so that they could build a new life in the west, as there was a downturn in economy.

Furthermore people may disagree with me, because, they believe



Question Part

that gold was the biggest reason because through gold, people believe they could trade it, to make a fortune. Despite many individuals being unlucky, and not in fact finding gold. However I disagree because despite people believing they could make a fortune from the gold, there were other reasons to move west, one of which was the opportunity to buy land, which sounded more trustworthy and realistic.

To conclude, I disagree with the statement, because gold was not the main reasons, but there was a number of reasons for the movement, as people felt unlucky, and wanted a change to try their luck elsewhere because they were low on money and food. They needed a change to build a life, which was presented in the west.



Question Part

b	§	<p>The source presented to us tells us that Hitler and the Nazi Party were showcasing their power to be able to reconstruct Germany from the fallen country it is, to a successful country. Hitler and the Nazis are using the Germans desperation, to showcase themselves as God-like figures, who have come as the saviours. From my own knowledge I know that after WWI, Germany certainly was in ^{an} appealing condition. They were desperate, as the economy had fallen; the Germans were ^{-my} starving, as there was no food. The country was destroyed. Hitler used propaganda, and manipulation to present himself as the messiah coming to give Germany back their rights.</p>



Question Part

The sources B and C, and interpretation D, all show ~~the~~ give knowledge to the historian about total war. It shows why it was necessary and the consequences

Source B, is useful in showing exactly how the Nazis, attempted to push Germany in for total war. They did this through propaganda. This source is a speech by Joseph Goebbels, who was Hitler's right hand man, and head of propaganda ministry, so this source shows the propaganda use by Goebbels to manipulate the people. Goebbels, in this speech constantly questions the German mindsets, this is useful for a historian because it shows the steps toward total war. From my knowledge, I know that Goebbels, selected specifically the loyal Germans to hear it, and it was played on every radio.

Source C is useful for the historian because it showcases why there was a need for total war. It is a Propaganda leaflet by Britain, so it will showcase the Germans as the bad people. It shows the Nazi



Question Part

		and German towns lighting up in flames.
		In reality the British and American bomb raids had caused completely destruction to German. In one instance ^{deadly} a bomb attack on Berlin, led to 10,000 people homeless, and hundreds injured. This shows that due to ^{-eds} the drastic bomb raids Germany needed to move to Total war, to completely focus on the war effort, and come back from the deadly attacks.
		Interpretation D is useful because it gives an insight to the cause of Total war. It shows the benefit gained by Hitler through Total war. It shows the views that the German manipulated individuals had, like the one in Interpretation D, and how far this was from the truth. ^{the}
		To conclude, I believe both sources C + D and Interpretation D, are equally important, as each of them showcase different bits. Source A, shows the how exactly the ^{NAZIS} Germans persuaded the Germans to join a total war.



Question Part

which was through Goebbels speeches which touched the Germans and made them believe that the move to total is what is best for the German citizens. Furthermore source C informs the historian of why total was required, which was due to the destructive bombing. Finally Interpretation D, gives an insight on the causes of total war. Interpretation D is most reliable because it does not side with anyone but give the reality whereas source B and C, could potentially be censored because they are pieces of propaganda.



Question Part

8.	<p>Terror To a certain extent, I agree with the statement presented, this was because if it wasn't for the terror which the Nazis presented, there would be a lot more opposition to the Nazis.</p>
	<p>To begin with, I agree because it was due to terror that people feared Hitler and the Nazis, so refused to rebel against them. After the Night of the Long Knives fear had been installed in which meant people were scared to take any action, as they knew the consequences would be executed.</p>
	<p>Furthermore, the terror installed by the Nazis, and Gestapo meant that there was no more parties willing to fight back the Nazis. After the destruction of the communists, SA, all other ^{political} parties slowly dissolved or disappeared in the fear they would receive the same destruction as did the communists.</p>



Unit code	J	4	1	1	/	3	9
-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Write here how many booklets you have used in total	2
---	---

4 PAGE CONTINUATION BOOKLET

Write the information required clearly in the boxes above using capital letters.

Question Part

8		<p>Finally, I agree with the statement because terror was important for the individuals, who were not convinced through propaganda. This was installed by the Gestapo who were highly feared of. They were showcased as if they were everywhere, and if anyone went against Hitler or the Nazis they face complete brutality. This fear was what held back individuals from rebelling against Hitler.</p> <p>On the other, some people may disagree with my opinion, and argue that propaganda was more important</p>
---	--	---

This document consists of 4 pages



Question Part

from terror. This was because through
 propaganda the Nazis were able to
 manipulate the Germans. They
 convinced the Germans through their
 speeches that they wanted what
 was best for them. Propaganda
 enabled the Nazis to present an
 untrue image of themselves. However,
 I would argue that despite presenting
 this image of wanting what's best
 the Nazis were not able to fool
 everyone. For example Cardinal
 Cullen, who found out the truth, about
 the horror of the way the Nazis
 treated other groups such as the
 Jews, and further went on to preach
 against about them.

People could also argue that the
 statements is incorrect because
 propaganda was in fact more impact
 in controlling people. For example
 the women, who were manipulated
 into getting married, and having lots
 of children to fill the German population.
 They were persuaded through
 Goebbels' speeches, and reward
 and medals presented to them.

However I argue that the women



Question Part

may have been persuaded not by the propaganda but by the greed for the loans awarded, and when women got married, and the deduction in the payback price when they had children^{-or}.

To conclude, I argue that terror was more important because, it installed a fear in people. It made them afraid to go against Hitler due to the consequences that would arise if any movement as such was to take place. Terror further enabled the Nazis to identify who was against them, for example if anyone had been out of control, they would be informed about to the Gestapo, who would brutally execute them, to prevent further opposition.



